

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Ralph I. Miller
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
	:
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
	:
-----X	

**NOTICE OF MOTION SEEKING THE APPLICATION OF
THE ORDER ESTABLISHING DISCOVERY PROCEDURES IN
CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO RECLASSIFY PROOFS
OF CLAIMS AS EQUITY INTERESTS TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS PENDING
ON THE THREE HUNDRED FORTY SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing on the annexed motion (the “Motion”) of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” or “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for certain entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Estates”), pursuant to section 105 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rules 7026, *et seq.*, of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an Order applying the Order Establishing Discovery Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claims as Equity Interests [ECF No. 30421] to holders of claims that filed timely responses and remain pending on the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh

Omnibus Objection to claims [ECF No. 30357] (the “August 2012 Omnibus Objection”), as more fully described in the Motion, will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Customs House, Courtroom 601, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 (the “Bankruptcy Court”), on **September 27, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Hearing”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the Motion shall be in writing, shall conform to the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, shall set forth the name of the objecting party, the basis for the objection and the specific grounds thereof, shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s case filing system and by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with two hard copies delivered directly to Chambers), and shall be served upon: (i) the Chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Ralph I. Miller, Esq. and Robert J. Lemons, Esq., attorneys for LBHI; and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004, Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta G. Gasparini, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq., so as to be so filed and received by no later than **September 20, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Objection Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if an objection to the Motion is not received by the Objection Deadline, the relief requested shall be deemed unopposed, and the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order granting the relief sought without a hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objecting parties are required to attend the Hearing, and failure to appear may result in relief being granted or denied upon default.

Dated: August 30, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Ralph I. Miller
Ralph I. Miller
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Ralph I. Miller
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
	:
-----X	

**MOTION SEEKING THE APPLICATION OF
THE ORDER ESTABLISHING DISCOVERY PROCEDURES IN
CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO RECLASSIFY PROOFS
OF CLAIMS AS EQUITY INTERESTS TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS PENDING
ON THE THREE HUNDRED FORTY SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” or “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for certain entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Estates”), submits this Motion, pursuant to section 105 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rules 7026, *et seq.*, of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an Order applying the Order Establishing Discovery Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claims as Equity Interests [ECF No., 30421] to holders of claims that filed timely responses and remain

pending on the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection to claims, filed on August 24, 2012 [ECF No. 30357] (the “Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection”) and respectfully represents:

Background

1. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, (as applicable, the “Commencement Date”), the Chapter 11 Estates commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

2. On December 6, 2011, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan [ECF No. 23023]. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

3. Since 2010, LBHI has filed fourteen Omnibus Objections to reclassify as equity interests approximately 3,682 claims based on restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards issued between 2003 and 2008 (the “Omnibus Objections”).

4. Numerous claimants have filed responses to the Omnibus Objections and the Court requested that the parties work together to provide a more complete record before it would rule on the Omnibus Objections. In connection with the effort to provide a record to the Court, several claimants served large informal discovery requests on LBHI.

5. On August 8, 2012, the Plan Administrator filed a Motion to Establish and Implement Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests, filed on August 8, 2012 [ECF No. 29899] (the “RSU Claims Discovery”).

Motion”), setting forth proposed discovery procedures to be followed in connection with the RSU and CSA Claims pending on Omnibus Objections. No objection was filed to the RSU Claims Discovery Motion. The Court entered an Order approving the RSU Claims Discovery Motion on August 27, 2012 [ECF No. 30421] (the “RSU Claims Discovery Order”).

6. On August 24, 2012, the Plan Administrator filed the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection, seeking to reclassify as equity interests twenty one claims based on restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards issued between 2003 and 2008 (collectively, the “RSU Claims”). The response deadline for the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection is September 20, 2012. The Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection is scheduled to be heard by the Court on September 27, 2012.

Jurisdiction

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider and determine this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Relief Requested

8. The Plan Administrator requests entry of the proposed order attached hereto as Exhibit B (the “Proposed Order”), rendering the RSU Claims Discovery Order applicable to all claims identified in the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection that timely filed responses to and remain pending on such objection after that omnibus objection is heard by the Court on September 27, 2012.¹ A copy of the RSU Claims Discovery Order is attached to the Proposed Order as Exhibit 1.

¹ Those RSU Claims will be identified in Schedule 1 of the proposed Order after the Court has ruled on the Three Hundred Forty Seventh Omnibus Objection.

**The RSU Claims Discovery Order Should Be Applied to the Holders of
Claims That Remain Pending on the Three Hundred and Forty Seventh Objection**

9. In connection with certain objections to claims asserted against LBHI based on RSUs and CSAs, the Court previously requested that LBHI and holders of claims based on RSUs and CSAs provide a factual record relating to such claims. In connection with such effort, certain claimants have requested discovery from LBHI. The Plan Administrator has agreed to provide some discovery to claimants. To organize and provide such discovery in an efficient manner, the Plan Administrator filed the RSU Claims Discovery Motion which sought the establishment of certain procedures relating to such discovery. The procedures were negotiated with a group of holders of RSU Claims and their counsel. No objections were filed to the RSU Claims Discovery Motion and the Court entered the RSU Claims Discovery Order on August 27, 2012. The RSU Claims Discovery Order, attached as Exhibit 1 to the Proposed Order, sets forth the Court approved discovery procedures and currently applies to all claims identified in thirteen other omnibus objections based on RSUs that remain pending on omnibus objections. *See* RSU Claims Discovery Order, note 1.

10. Subsequent to the filing of the RSU Claims Discovery Motion, the Plan Administrator identified the claims that are subject to the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection as claims that are potentially based on RSUs or CSAs. To the extent that any of the holders of the claims subject to the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection timely respond and contest such objection, and remain pending on such objection following the hearing on September 27, 2012, such claimants should be included in the same discovery process as all other holders of claims based on RSUs or CSAs.

11. The Plan Administrator seeks an Order rendering the RSU Claims Discovery Order applicable to such claimants. The arguments in favor of granting the RSU

Claims Discovery Motion, attached hereto as Exhibit A (without exhibit), apply equally to this Motion. Thus, the Plan Administrator hereby incorporates the RSU Claims Discovery Motion by reference, and respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion for the same reasons.

Notice

12. The Plan Administrator has served this Motion on (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants subject to the Three Hundred Forty Seventh Omnibus Objection; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

13. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

Dated: August 30, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Ralph I. Miller
Ralph I. Miller
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

Exhibit A

RSU Claims Discovery Motion

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 767 Fifth Avenue
 New York, New York 10153
 Telephone: (212) 310-8000
 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
 Ralph I. Miller
 Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
 and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**MOTION TO ESTABLISH AND IMPLEMENT PROCEDURES
 IN CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO
RECLASSIFY PROOFS OF CLAIM AS EQUITY INTERESTS**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” or “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for certain entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Estates”), submits this Motion, pursuant to section 105 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rules 7026, *et seq.*, of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an Order establishing and implementing procedures in connection with discovery related to LBHI’s Omnibus Objections to claims based on restricted stock units (“RSU”) and contingent stock awards (“CSA”) that remain pending on such objections (collectively, “RSU Claims”) and respectfully represents:

Background

1. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, (as applicable, the “Commencement Date”), the Chapter 11 Estates commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

2. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered an Order (the “Procedures Order”) [ECF No. 6664], which authorizes the filing of omnibus objections to up to 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

3. On December 6, 2011, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan [ECF No. 23023]. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

4. Since 2010, LBHI has filed twelve Omnibus Objections to reclassify as equity interests approximately 3,653 claims based on restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards issued between 2003 and 2008 (the “Omnibus Objections”).

5. LBHI filed ten of those Omnibus Objections between December 7, 2010 and September 15, 2011. By those objections, LBHI sought to reclassify approximately 3,398 claims. In a series of orders entered between January 20, 2011 and February 27, 2012, the Court granted the requested relief, and reclassified 3,182 claims as equity.

6. Numerous claimants filed responses to the Omnibus Objections filed between December 7, 2010 and September 15, 2011. A hearing was held before the Court on December 21, 2011 at which LBHI sought, on a contested basis, to reclassify many of the claims

based on RSUs. At that hearing, the Court requested that the parties work together to provide a more complete record before it would rule on the Omnibus Objections. In connection with the effort to provide a record to the Court, several claimants served large informal discovery requests on LBHI.

7. On June 4, 2012 and June 15, 2012, LBHI filed two additional Omnibus Objections, seeking to reclassify claims as equity interests based on restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards issued between 2003 and 2008. There are approximately 255 claims subject to these two additional Omnibus Objections, which are scheduled to be heard by the Court on August 23, 2012.

Jurisdiction

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider and determine this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Relief Requested

9. LBHI requests entry of an order, attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the “Order”), (i) establishing and implementing the procedures set forth in the Order for discovery in connection with the RSU Claims (the “RSU Claims Discovery Procedures”), and (ii) approving the terms and provisions of the “Protective Order”, attached to the Order as Exhibit B, which sets forth procedures and protections governing disclosure of confidential information by LBHI to the holders of the RSU Claims (the “RSU Claimants”) and vice versa.

The RSU Claims Discovery Procedures Should Be Approved

10. There are more than 300 RSU Claims that remain pending on Omnibus Objections that need to be resolved by the Court and many more that will need to be resolved if they are not dismissed on August 23, 2012. Numerous RSU Claimants have taken the position that the Omnibus Objections cannot be resolved without discovery from LBHI. Due to the large

number of RSU Claimants, this Court has previously noted that a set of procedures to develop the factual record would be helpful in resolving the Omnibus Objections. *See* 12/21/11 Hearing Tr., at 128 [ECF No. 23741]. While LBHI has been communicating with counsel for several of the RSU Claimants and has begun producing documents to them on a rolling basis, there are many more RSU Claimants who have not participated in such discussions and are also likely to seek discovery from LBHI.

11. To avoid the substantial and unwarranted cost, burden, duplication and delay to LBHI and the RSU Claimants that would result from engaging in time-consuming discovery (and attendant discovery-based motion practice) on an individual basis, LBHI has formulated and proposes to implement the RSU Claims Discovery Procedures set forth in the Order. These procedures are designed to provide the RSU Claimants with the discovery they have requested, while streamlining such discovery so as to avoid any unnecessary burden and expense on LBHI and the Chapter 11 Estates. The proposed procedures provide the RSU Claimants with an organized, orderly, efficient, and expeditious process, which promotes disclosure, meaningful participation of all interested RSU Claimants, and access to the Court, as appropriate and necessary, while establishing safeguards for access to confidential information produced by both LBHI and the RSU Claimants who choose to participate in the RSU Claims Discovery process.¹

¹ On June 22, 2012, the Plan Administrator shared its initial draft of the proposed discovery procedures with counsel for several of the RSU Claimants seeking discovery and solicited comments. Since then, the Plan Administrator repeatedly has conferred with such counsel regarding their comments and revised the draft procedures to address their comments and concerns. The Proposed Order attached as Exhibit 1 reflects the Plan Administrator's attempt to address those RSU Claimants' comments and concerns, while at the same time establishing an orderly and efficient discovery process. Counsel for the RSU Claimants with which the Plan Administrator has conferred generally agree that the procedures outlined in this Order are appropriate to develop the evidentiary record.

12. The RSU Claims Discovery Procedures promote an orderly and efficient plan for discovery by providing for (i) initial, voluntary disclosures made by LBHI of non-privileged documents previously identified by some of the RSU Claimants as documents they need to resolve their claims (the “Initial Disclosures”); (ii) subsequent document requests and productions to be made after the RSU Claimants have had the opportunity to review the Initial Disclosures; (iii) access to documents produced by LBHI through an electronic data repository maintained by LBHI; (iv) a total of 60 Interrogatories; (v) as many Requests for Admissions as may be reasonably necessary to establish document admissibility; (vi) one deposition of LBHI pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7030 and 9014, relating to the RSU Claims; (vii) consolidated discovery requests by the RSU Claimants to expedite the discovery process and ease the administrative burden on the Chapter 11 Estates of responding to multiple, duplicative requests (and on the Court, from having to deal with multiple but essentially duplicative or overlapping disputes over discovery); (viii) protections and limitations on access to discovery materials that contain confidential information; (ix) protection against inadvertent waiver of privileges, attorney work-product immunity, and other legally cognizable privileges, to foster access to pertinent materials without undue delay; and (x) a dispute resolution process that favors consensual resolution by the parties, but which provides for access to the Court, as appropriate and necessary. As such, the proposed procedures will allow discovery to be conducted without needless duplication and expense or undue burden on LBHI, the RSU Claimants, or the Court.

13. The proposed RSU Claims Discovery Procedures also provide for the division of the claimants into five separate groups to facilitate their coordination in serving consolidated discovery requests. At prior hearings, the RSU Claimants have asserted that their

claims are not all identical, but rather there are distinct groups that may make different factual and/or legal arguments. The RSU Claims Discovery Procedures provide a mechanism for the RSU Claimants to select the group with which they will serve consolidated discovery. The selection of a group does not restrict or impact the rights of any RSU Claimant to assert any arguments in support of their claim or prejudice such claimants' substantive rights in any way. Separating the claimants into groups is a means to further organize the discovery process.

14. If LBHI is unable to establish and implement a uniform set of RSU Claims discovery procedures, LBHI will be faced with a logjam of duplicative, overlapping and extraordinarily burdensome discovery requests and attendant motion practice at the very time when it needs to focus on resolving claims so that LBHI can make distributions to creditors. The RSU Claims are only a small fraction of the nearly 10,000 claims asserted against the Chapter 11 Estates that remain unresolved. Disorganized and burdensome discovery could unnecessarily impede and delay the resolution of the RSU Claims, which are seeking over \$443 million from the Chapter 11 Estates and surely would multiply the costs and expenses to the Chapter 11 Estates to the detriment of LBHI's creditors. The proposed RSU Claims Discovery Procedures will streamline the discovery process and permit LBHI to focus its efforts on bringing resolution to all pending claims.

Basis for Relief

15. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that a bankruptcy court may "issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of [the Bankruptcy Code]." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court has expansive equitable power to fashion any order or decree that is in the interest of preserving or protecting the value of a debtor's assets. *See, e.g., Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.)*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1069 (2d Cir. 1983)

("[A] bankruptcy judge must have substantial freedom to tailor his orders to meet differing circumstances.").

16. Section 105(d) of the Bankruptcy Code states that the Court may "issue an order . . . prescribing such limitations and conditions as the court deems appropriate to ensure that the case is handled expeditiously and economically. . . ." 11 U.S.C. § 105(d). The legislative history of this subsection, enacted as part of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1994, indicates that Congress intended to "authorize[] bankruptcy court judges to . . . manage their dockets in a more efficient and expeditious manner." 140 CONG. REC. H10,764 (daily ed. Oct. 4, 1994) (rem. of Rep. Brooks), *reprinted in* 2 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY P 105.LH (15th ed. rev. 2009). Moreover, according to the Supreme Court, the Court has the "inherent power" to "manage [its] own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." *Cf. Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962); *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (noting the "power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants").

17. Bankruptcy Rules 7026, *et seq.*, set forth the requirements for the gathering of information in bankruptcy proceedings, with provisions governing, among other items, discovery, depositions, the production of documents, and interrogatories. The RSU Claims Discovery Procedures are "necessary and appropriate to carry out the provisions" of Bankruptcy Rules 7026, *et seq.*, as they would allow the participating RSU Claimants and LBHI to obtain discovery in an orderly, expeditious, and efficient fashion (from cost and timing perspectives). The RSU Claims Discovery Procedures and the Protective Order have been modeled from the discovery procedures and protective order approved and so-ordered by the Court on April 14, 2011 in connection with discovery that various parties considered taking in

connection with the Plan [ECF No. 16003]. This discovery requested by the RSU Claimants is similar to the proposed Plan-related discovery in that there are large numbers of parties that may seek similar and redundant discovery from LBHI. It would have been extraordinarily burdensome for LBHI to provide for individual Plan-related discovery. It would be similarly burdensome for LBHI to provide for individual discovery for the RSU Claimants. Procedures in connection with discovery also have been established in other large complex chapter 11 cases. *See, e.g., In re Enron Corp. et al.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 01-16034 (AJG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 13, 2004), *Order Establishing, Among Other Things, Procedures and Deadlines Concerning Objections to Confirmation and Discovery in Connection Therewith* [Docket No. 16233].

18. LBHI believes that its ability to respond to discovery requests pursuant to the RSU Claims Discovery Procedures will assist in the efficient administration of these Chapter 11 Cases, without unnecessary litigation expense, and to effect the maximization of value for LBHI and the Chapter 11 Estates. For the foregoing reasons, LBHI submits that the relief requested in this Motion is necessary and appropriate, is in the best interests of the Chapter Estates, and should be granted in all respects.

Notice

19. The Plan Administrator has served this Motion on (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the RSU Claimants; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

20. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by LBHI to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE LBHI respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

Dated: August 8, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Ralph I. Miller
Ralph I. Miller
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

Exhibit B

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
: **Chapter 11 Case No.**
In re : **08-13555 (JMP)**
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., :
: **(Jointly Administered)**
Debtors. :
:
-----X

**ORDER APPLYING THE ORDER ESTABLISHING DISCOVERY PROCEDURES
IN CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO RECLASSIFY PROOFS
OF CLAIMS AS EQUITY INTERESTS TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS PENDING
ON THE THREE HUNDRED FORTY SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

Upon the motion dated August 30, 2012 (the “Motion”)² of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors, pursuant to section 105(a) of chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), seeking to apply the Order Establishing Discovery Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests [ECF No., 30421] to holders of claims that timely responded to and remain pending on the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection following the hearing on September 27, 2012, all as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the Amended Standing Order M-431 Referring to Bankruptcy Judges for the Southern District of New York Any and All Proceedings Under Title 11, dated January 31, 2012 (Preska, C.J.); and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and due and proper notice of the Motion having been

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

provided in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures [ECF No. 9635], and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion is in the best interests of LBHI and its estate and creditors and all parties in interest; and upon the Court's consideration of the Motion; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that, the Motion is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that, the Order Establishing Discovery Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests, previously entered by the Court on August 27, 2012 [ECF No. 30421], which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, is applicable to and enforceable by and against those claimants subject to the Three Hundred Forty-Seventh Omnibus Objection listed on Schedule 1 attached hereto.³

Dated: September ___, 2012
New York, New York

Honorable James M. Peck
United States Bankruptcy Judge

³ Those claims are identified in Schedule 1 [which will be attached once the Court has ruled on the 347th Omnibus Objection.]

Exhibit 1

RSU Claims Discovery Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
:
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (JMP)**
:
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
:
-----X

**ORDER ESTABLISHING DISCOVERY PROCEDURES
IN CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO
RECLASSIFY PROOFS OF CLAIM AS EQUITY INTERESTS**

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for certain entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases filed, in total, fourteen Omnibus Objections seeking to reclassify as equity interests approximately 3,682 claims based on restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and/or contingent stock awards (“CSAs”) issued between 2003 and 2008 (the “Omnibus Objections”).¹ Thirteen of the Omnibus Objections were filed between December 7, 2010 and June 15, 2012, and the last

¹ Those objections are: Debtors’ Seventy-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 13295]; Debtors’ One Hundred Eighteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 15666]; Debtors’ One Hundred Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 16115]; Debtors’ One Hundred Thirty-First Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 16116]; Debtors’ One Hundred Thirty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 16530]; Debtors’ One Hundred Thirty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 16532]; Debtors’ One Hundred Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 16808]; Debtors’ One Hundred Seventy-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 19392]; Debtors’ One Hundred Eighty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Compound Claims) [ECF No. 19714]; Debtors’ Two Hundred Seventh Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 20012]; Three Hundred Thirteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 28433]; Three Hundred Fourteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Late-Filed Claims) [ECF No. 28435]; Three Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 28777]; and the Three Hundred Forty Seventh Omnibus Objection to Claims (to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 30357].

Omnibus Objection was filed on August 24, 2012 (the “August 2012 Omnibus Objection”). In a series of orders entered between January 20, 2011 and August 24, 2012, the Court granted the requested relief as against claimants who did not oppose the first thirteen Omnibus Objections, and reclassified as equity approximately 3,409 claims, leaving 273 claims pending on Omnibus Objections (the outstanding RSU and CSA claims are referred to collectively as the “RSU Claims”², and the claimants asserting the RSU Claims are referred to as the “RSU Claimants”). The August 2012 Omnibus Objection is scheduled to be heard by the Court on September 27, 2012 and involves twenty-one additional claims (claimants who file responses to the August 2012 Omnibus Objection are referred to as the “August 2012 RSU Claimants.” The August 2012 RSU Claimants shall be included in the definition of “RSU Claimants” and their claims shall be included in the definition of “RSU Claims”). Numerous RSU Claimants have sought discovery in connection with the RSU Claims.

The Court has previously indicated that “reliable and authentic evidence” to develop the factual record would be helpful in resolving LBHI’s objections to the RSU Claims. Hrg. Tr., Dec. 21, 2011, at 103-04, 106, 128 [ECF No. 23741]. Thus, the Court hereby finds that it would be in the best interests of LBHI and the RSU Claimants that discovery in connection with RSU Claims, and LBHI’s objections thereto, be conducted in an efficient, expeditious and orderly manner. LBHI has shared these discovery procedures with counsel for several of the RSU Claimants seeking discovery. This Order addresses the comments and concerns discussed during those meetings while maintaining an orderly and efficient discovery process. Counsel for the RSU Claimants with which LBHI has conferred generally agree that the procedures outlined in this Order are appropriate to develop the evidentiary record. Accordingly, it is hereby

² These RSU Claims are identified in Schedule 1, attached hereto.

ORDERED:

1. **Scope of Order.** Except as otherwise ordered by the Court, this Order shall control any and all discovery by LBHI and the RSU Claimants in connection with the RSU Claims (“RSU Claims Discovery”), but shall not affect the rights of LBHI or the RSU Claimants to seek discovery in connection with any other contested matters or adversary proceedings.
2. **No Admissions.** No statements made in this Order shall be construed as a finding of fact by the Court nor as an admission of any claim or allegation by any of the parties.
3. **Participation in RSU Claims Discovery.**
 - (a) Only LBHI and the RSU Claimants shall participate in RSU Claims Discovery. LBHI shall give each RSU Claimant prompt and adequate notice of this Order at the last known mailing address and address for electronic mail of such claimant.
 - (b) Any RSU Claimant who intends to participate in RSU Claims Discovery (“Proposed Participant”), or any attorney acting on behalf of such Proposed Participant, shall serve on LBHI’s attorneys a completed “Notice of Intent,” the form of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Each Notice of Intent must contain: (i) the name, address, telephone number, and email address of the Proposed Participant and the name of the law firm(s) and individual attorney(s) representing the Proposed Participant along with the attorney’s office address, telephone number, and email address; (ii) a list of the proof(s) of claim by claim number, filed by the Proposed Participant; and (iii) the employee number by which LBHI identified the Proposed Participant (if it can be located by the Proposed Participant after a reasonable search), as shown on Exhibit A. The Notice of Intent must be served on LBHI’s attorneys no later than 14 days

after entry of this Order (the “Notice of Intent Deadline”), except that in the case of the August 2012 RSU Claimants, the deadline for serving the Notice of Intent shall be October 4, 2012 (the “August 2012 RSU Claimants’ Notice of Intent Deadline”). In the absence of an Order of the Court sustaining an objection to a Notice of Intent (as described in Paragraph 3(d) of this Order), a Proposed Participant timely serving a Notice of Intent shall be deemed a “Participant.” For purposes of participation in RSU Claims Discovery, “Participant” shall include the attorneys and advisors for any Participant identified on the Notice of Intent served by the Participant, and “LBHI” shall include the attorneys and any advisors and any other professionals for LBHI and its affiliates. RSU Claimants who do not serve a Notice of Intent by the Notice of Intent Deadline, except by agreement of the parties or Order of the Court, will not be permitted to participate in RSU Claims Discovery or take any discovery from LBHI in connection with the RSU Claims.

(c) All RSU Claimants shall be entitled to serve a Notice of Intent on or prior to the Notice of Intent Deadline and shall be permitted to participate in RSU Claims Discovery as of the date of serving such Notice of Intent.

(d) LBHI shall have the right to object to Notices of Intent on any and all appropriate grounds, including, but not limited to those that are, *inter alia*, harassing, or served by persons that are not RSU Claimants. Any RSU Claimant or other party who serves a Notice of Intent to which LBHI successfully objects pursuant to an Order of the Court shall not be permitted to participate in RSU Claims Discovery or take any discovery from LBHI in connection with the RSU Claims.

(e) Within seven (7) days of the Notice of Intent Deadline, LBHI shall serve on all Participants a list of such RSU Claimants and their attorneys, which will be the official service list for RSU Claims Discovery (the “Original Official Service List”). Within five (5) days of the August 2012 RSU Claimants’ Notice of Intent Deadline, LBHI shall serve an amended official service list on all Participants. LBHI shall amend the Official Service List, as necessary, from time to time, and shall serve the amended service lists on every party on the Official Service List. Service in connection with RSU Claims Discovery shall be by electronic mail.

(f) All RSU Claims Discovery shall be subject to and conducted in accordance with the terms of the Protective Order, attached hereto as Exhibit B and approved by the Court in its entirety. In order to participate in RSU Claims Discovery, each Participant and a representative of each Participant’s attorneys and advisors must read and sign the Agreement to abide by the Protective Order, attached hereto as Exhibit C, and serve a copy upon LBHI’s attorneys. Such representative must provide the Protective Order to any person that it employs or engages who is given access to RSU Claims Discovery. Any Participant (or the attorneys and advisors for any RSU Claimant who are involved in these Chapter 11 cases) who does not sign the Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order shall not be permitted to participate in RSU Claims Discovery.

4. **LBHI’s Initial Disclosures.** No later than 45 days after the Notice of Intent Deadline (unless an extension is reached by agreement of the parties or ordered by the Court), LBHI shall make the initial disclosures set forth below to each Participant, except in the case of the August 2012 RSU Claimants, LBHI shall make the initial disclosures set forth below no later than 21 days after the August 2012 RSU Claimants’ Notice of Intent Deadline. With regard to the Participants who serve a Notice of Intent before the Notice of Intent Deadline, LBHI shall endeavor to produce the below documents and information prior to the above deadline.

(a) Documents in LBHI's possession, custody or control setting forth the terms and conditions applicable to restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards issued between 2003 and 2008 that can be located after a reasonable search, including, but not limited to, all operative agreements, amendments, program documents, and policies;

(b) A confidential report to each individual Participant identifying the plan(s) and/or programs(s) in which LBHI's business records reflect that he/she had an unvested or unexercised restricted stock unit or contingent stock award that were issued between 2003 through 2008, together with information as reflected in statements made available to each Participant during the years 2003 – 2008, as well as values attributable to his/her restricted stock units and/or contingent stock awards during that period.

(c) With respect to Participants who were commissioned sales persons, a confidential report showing the amounts of commissions during the fiscal year 2008 to be allocated to RSUs that LBHI anticipated would be awarded in 2008;

(d) To each Participant who was a former principal of Neuberger Berman Inc. ("Neuberger Berman") and who became a Lehman employee as a result of the merger between LBHI and Neuberger Berman, (i) any non-solicitation or non-compete agreements signed by the Participant that can be located after a reasonable search; and (ii) any agreement concerning the retention bonuses paid to the Participant that can be located after a reasonable search;

(e) All minutes of Compensation Committee meetings for the years 2003 through 2008 related to the RSU Claims that can be located after a reasonable search;

(f) All employee handbooks from 2003-2008 that can be located after a reasonable search; and

(g) LBHI's audited annual and quarterly financial statements for the years 2003 through 2008.

5. **Requested Document Discovery from LBHI.**

(a) No later than 45 days from LBHI's service of the Original Official Service List, the Participants shall serve consolidated Document Requests relating to the RSU Claims pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures (the "Federal Civil Rules"), as incorporated by Rules 7034 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), as part of one of the following groups (each of the foregoing enumerated groups, a "Group" and together, the "Groups"): (i) Participants who were salaried employees of Lehman and did not become Lehman employees as a result of the merger of LBHI and Neuberger Berman and whose claims are not based on contingent stock awards; (ii) Participants who were salaried employees of Lehman and did not become Lehman employees as a result of the merger of LBHI and Neuberger Berman and whose claims are based on contingent stock awards; (iii) Participants who were commissioned employees of Lehman (including Participants who have claims relating to commissions earned in fiscal year 2008) and did not become Lehman employees as a result of the merger of LBHI and Neuberger Berman and whose claim is not based on contingent stock awards; (iv) Participants who were commissioned employees of Lehman (including Participants who have claims relating to commissions earned in fiscal year 2008) and did not become Lehman employees as a result of the merger of LBHI and Neuberger Berman and whose claim is based on contingent stock awards; and (v) Participants who became

Lehman employees as a result of the merger of LBHI and Neuberger Berman.³ Each of the foregoing groups may serve one consolidated Document Request (each, the “Consolidated Request” or collectively, the “Consolidated Requests”). The Participants in each of the foregoing groups shall confer with the members of their respective groups and develop their Group’s Consolidated Requests in order to avoid the service of multiple, duplicative document requests and the attendant costs and other burdens imposed on LBHI to respond to such requests. If the Participants determine that it will be more efficient to serve one set of consolidated Document Requests for all of the Groups or combine the requests of two or more Groups, they may do so, but they must indicate in the Consolidated Requests which requests are made by all of the Participants and which requests are made only by any of the foregoing groups. No Participant shall waive, or be deemed to have been prejudiced in any way, with respect to, any of his/her rights and legal positions by joining the Consolidated Requests or the designation of the Groups as prescribed in this paragraph.

(b) No later than 21 days after receiving the Consolidated Requests, LBHI’s attorneys shall, if LBHI deems necessary, confer with the Participants and/or Groups concerning the Document Requests.

(c) Other than in response to reasonably limited, targeted search requests agreed to by LBHI or ordered by this Court, LBHI shall not be required to search for, produce, or identify on any log, documents from the following categories of privileged documents:

(i) Hard copy and electronic files of in-house counsel and outside counsel;

³ No provision herein shall be construed to preclude any Participant from joining or forming other groups under Bankruptcy Rule 2019 or for any other purpose outside the scope of this Order. The cooperation of Participants in the manner contemplated by this Order shall not be deemed to constitute the formation or participation in a group within the scope and requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 2019.

- (ii) Documents and communications solely between in-house counsel and outside counsel;
- (iii) Internal documents and communications solely within an outside counsel law firm;
- (iv) Documents and communications solely between or among outside counsel law firms for a single client;
- (v) Documents and communications solely between or among client and inside or outside counsel; and
- (vi) To the extent a request seeks documents prepared or dated after September 15, 2008, internal documents and communications solely with an advisor, except in the case of an advisor designated as a testifying expert.

Nothing in this Paragraph shall modify or expand a Participant's rights or obligations under Rule 26(b)(4)(B) of the Federal Civil Rules.

(d) Unless the time is extended by agreement or order of this Court, LBHI shall serve written objections and responses to the Consolidated Requests on the Participants no later than 30 days after receiving the Consolidated Requests. Thereafter, LBHI shall begin a rolling production of any non-privileged documents that are not subject to an objection made by LBHI and that are responsive to the Consolidated Requests that can be located after a reasonable search. To the extent that any of the requested documents contains confidential or personal information concerning a particular Participant, LBHI shall produce such documents to the Participant and/or his attorneys only. LBHI shall begin searching for and producing documents as expeditiously as is reasonably practical. LBHI shall produce date-stamped and/or otherwise uniquely identified documents on a rolling basis and inform Participants from time to time

regarding the production and availability of documents. LBHI shall complete its production of documents no later than 80 days after receiving the Consolidated Requests.

6. **Data Repository.**

(a) LBHI shall, to the extent reasonably practical, upload non-privileged responsive documents to one or more online data repositories (collectively, the “Data Repository”) on a rolling basis. The Data Repository review tool shall provide basic functions and features such as searching, printing, and downloading. All documents responsive to any of the Consolidated Requests will be accessible by all Participants, except for those documents containing confidential or personal information about a Participant. Documents containing confidential or personal information about a Participant will be produced only to that Participant (whether electronically or otherwise).

(b) After signing and providing to LBHI’s attorneys, the Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order, the Participants will be granted access to the Data Repository. Each Participant shall, upon request, be given up to three (3) separate login credentials for the Data Repository for the Participant and the attorneys and/or advisors identified in the Notice of Intent served by each Participant.

(c) LBHI shall have the right to produce documents by other means, including but not limited to, hard copy documents or supervised review of hard copy documents. LBHI will notify the Participants if any documents will be made available by such other means, and will provide reasonable advance notice of the terms and conditions under which such documents will be made available. Any Participant may request that any documents or data, including, but not limited to, Microsoft Excel files, that are otherwise responsive to discovery requests be produced in another

format. Any dispute concerning the production of such documents that is not resolved by a meet and confer process may be brought to the Court's attention in accordance with this Order.

7. **Requested Document Discovery from the RSU Claimants.**

(a) No later than 55 days from LBHI's service of the Original Official Service List, LBHI may serve Document Requests relating to the RSU Claims pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Rules 7034 and 9014 of the Bankruptcy Rules, on the Participants.

(b) No later than 21 days after receiving LBHI's Document Requests, the Participants or any of the Groups shall, if they deem necessary, confer with LBHI concerning the Document Requests.

(c) The Participants shall not be required to search for, produce, or identify on any log, documents from the following categories of privileged documents:

- (i) Hard copy and electronic files of the Participants' attorneys;
- (ii) Internal documents and communications solely within the law firm(s) representing the Participants;
- (iii) Documents and communications solely between or among law firms representing the Participants and Participants appearing *pro se*; and
- (iv) Documents and communications solely between or among the Participants and their counsel.

Nothing in this Paragraph shall modify or expand a LBHI's rights or obligations under Rule 26(b)(4)(B) of the Federal Civil Rules.

(d) Unless the time is extended by agreement or order of this Court, the Participants shall serve written objections and responses to LBHI's Document Requests no later than 30 days after receiving LBHI's Document Requests. The Groups shall confer to develop consolidated responses to LBHI's Document Requests (the "Consolidated Responses"). The Consolidated Responses must specify any objections or responses made by less than all of the Participants, and the Participants making those objections or responses. Thereafter, the Participants shall begin a rolling production of any non-privileged documents that are not subject to an objection made by the Participants and that are responsive to the LBHI's Document Requests that can be located after a reasonable search. The documents may be produced in electronic form in accordance with the specifications set forth in Exhibit D, or in hard copy. If reasonably practical, LBHI may upload the documents produced by Participants to the Data Repository. The Participants shall begin searching for and producing documents as expeditiously as is reasonably practical. The Participants shall produce date-stamped and/or otherwise uniquely identified documents on a rolling basis and inform LBHI from time to time regarding the production and availability of documents. The Participants shall complete their production of documents no later than 80 days after receiving LBHI's Document Requests.

8. **Privilege Log.**

(a) Except for the categories of documents identified in Section 4(g) above, if LBHI withholds any documents on the grounds of privilege, work product, or any other type of protection or immunity from disclosure, LBHI shall provide the Participants with a privilege log consistent with Rule 26(b)(5) of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7026 and 9014.

(b) Except for the categories of documents identified in Section 6(c) above, if a Participant withholds any documents on the grounds of privilege, work product, or any other type of protection or immunity from disclosure, he/she shall provide LBHI with a privilege log consistent with Rule 26(b)(5) of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7026 and 9014.

9. **Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions Served on LBHI.**

(a) No later than 55 days from LBHI's service of the Original Official Service List, the Participants may collectively serve upon LBHI's attorneys no more than 60 (except by the parties' agreement or order of the Court) written Interrogatories (including all subparts) and such Requests for Admission as may be reasonably necessary to establish document admissibility, relating to the RSU Claims, pursuant to Rules 33(a)(1) and Rule 36 of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7033, 7036, and 9014. The Interrogatories shall be limited to identification and authentication and shall not include contention interrogatories. The Participants shall confer among themselves to determine the content and scope of the Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions.

(b) Unless the time is extended by agreement or order of this Court, LBHI shall serve written objections and responses to the above Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions on the Participants no later than 30 days after they have been served on LBHI's attorneys.

10. **Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions Served on the RSU Claimants.**

(a) No later than 55 days from LBHI's service of the Original Official Service List, LBHI shall serve on the Participants no more than 60 (except by the parties' agreement or order

of the Court) written Interrogatories (including all subparts) and such Requests for Admission as may be reasonably necessary to establish document admissibility, relating to the RSU Claims, pursuant to Rules 33(a)(1) and Rule 36 of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7033, 7036, and 9014. The Interrogatories shall be limited to identification and authentication and shall not include contention interrogatories.

(b) Unless the time is extended by agreement or order of this Court, the Participants shall serve written objections and responses to the above Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions on LBHI 30 days after they have been served on the Participants. The Participants shall confer to develop their group's consolidated responses to LBHI's Interrogatories and/or Request for Admissions.

11. **Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of LBHI.**

(a) No later than 14 days after document production is complete, the Participants may collectively serve one (1) Notice for Deposition upon the Debtors pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Civil Rules, as incorporated by Bankruptcy Rules 7030 and 9014, seeking deposition testimony regarding the RSU Claims (the "Joint Notice"). The Participants shall confer regarding topics for the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition prior to serving the Joint Notice.

(b) Within 30 days of receiving the Joint Notice (unless extended by agreement of the parties or further order of the Court), LBHI shall serve any responses and objections to the Joint Notice, together with a list of designated witnesses for each of the noticed Rule 30(b)(6) topics to which LBHI does not object, and the dates and locations when such witnesses will be made available to be deposed. Participants and LBHI will confer to resolve any disputes regarding

topics in the Joint Notice. The deposition of LBHI must be completed no later than 30 days after LBHI serves the list of designated witnesses.

12. **Depositions of the RSU Claimants.** No later than 14 days after receipt of the Joint Notice, LBHI may serve deposition notices on any of the Participants, seeking deposition testimony regarding the RSU Claims, to be scheduled no later than 45 days after LBHI serves the deposition notices. Considering the international nature of LBHI's business and the small size of many of the RSU Claims, the Court anticipates that many Participants who are not residents of or employed in the City of New York may request that the deposition take place by telephone or other remote means. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(b)(4) & 31. The Court also anticipates that the parties will stipulate to mutually agreeable arrangements pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 29. This Order creates no presumption either against such stipulations or in favor of depositions taking place in New York.

13. **Discovery Disputes.** Any dispute with regard to RSU Claims Discovery that cannot be resolved in good faith consultation with LBHI may be presented to the Court by a letter from the party seeking relief, and all participating parties copied. If any Participant has a dispute or objection regarding these discovery procedures that does not concern any other Participant that cannot be resolved in good faith consultation with LBHI, that Participant may present the issue to the Court by letter and copy LBHI only and not copy any other of the Participants. Any response to the above letters shall be made by letter to the Court delivered within five (5) business days after service of the initial letter submission on the applicable parties. Except with prior leave of Court, no letter shall exceed three (3) pages in length, and no additional submissions will be permitted. LBHI will communicate with the Court about scheduling status conferences/hearings during which discovery disputes will be heard.

14. **Status Updates and Completion of Discovery.** LBHI shall communicate with the Court periodically to provide it with updates on the status of discovery. Within 10 days after the completion of discovery, the parties shall attempt to jointly recommend to the Court proposed procedures for the evidentiary hearing(s) on the RSU Claims, including Stipulations of Fact and procedures for the exchange of Declarations of LBHI or any RSU Claimant. To the extent that agreement cannot be reached, any party can submit its own proposed procedures.

15. **Non-Waiver of Privilege.** The inadvertent production by LBHI or any Participant of any privileged document or information or any other document or information otherwise precluded or protected from disclosure, in response to any discovery requests shall not be deemed a waiver of any applicable privilege or immunity with respect to such document or information (or the contents or subject matter thereof) or with respect to any other document or discovery. Any such produced privileged or protected document must immediately be destroyed or returned (whether or not the receiving party disputes the claim or privilege) to the producing party on request or upon discovery of such inadvertent production by the receiving party, and the receiving party shall certify that all copies of such produced privileged or protected document has been destroyed or returned.

16. **Use of Materials Produced.** LBHI and Participants shall have the right to object to any request for documents on the grounds that such request appears to be calculated for use in proceedings other than those related to the RSU Claims. In addition, absent further Order of the Court, no discovery or information obtained pursuant to this Order may be introduced in evidence or otherwise disclosed in any other matter or proceeding, other than other contested matters or adversary proceedings between LBHI and an RSU claimant arising out of these

Chapter 11 cases, unless properly obtained through discovery or otherwise in such matter or other proceeding.

17. **No Legal Representation Implied by Participation.** For the avoidance of doubt, neither any provision of this Order nor the participation or activity by any attorney in any aspect of RSU Claims Discovery (including, without limitation, the activity of an attorney for a Participant in connection with the service of consolidated Document Requests as contemplated by section 4 hereof) shall be deemed or construed to create an attorney-client relationship between any attorney and any Participant, and no attorney participating in RSU Claims Discovery shall have any duty or obligation to any Participant other than the represented Participant indicated on the Notice of Intent served by such attorney.

18. **Jurisdiction.** The Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matters related to or arising from the implementation of this Order.

Dated: New York, New York
August 27, 2012

s/ James M. Peck
Honorable James M. Peck
United States Bankruptcy Judge

SCHEDULE 1

SCHEDULE 1

Claim #	Creditor Name
16132	ABRAMS, STANLEY
30611	ADLER, JENNIFER S.
27619	ALI, ASGAR
11044	ALLARD, ANDREW
12809	ANDERSON, IAN W.
10544	ANDRIOLA, ROCCO F.
16516	ANTONCIC, MADELYN
20313	BANCHETTI, RICCARDO
68071	BANCHETTI, RICCARDO
14851	BARDEHLE, CHRISTIAN
32511	BAREGGI, MICHELE
65217	BASS, BRADLEY
66323	BAZYLEVSKY, BO
13360	BECKER, JENNIFER
2136	BENSON, CRAIG O.
34980	BIRASCHI, PAOLA
19506	BIRD, RICHARD
33233	BOGERT, LISA R
4625	BOUGHRUM, DONALD J.
15087	BOWYER, MICHAEL L.
30417	BRANCATO, JENNIFER
11297	BREWER, KAREN H
65126	BROADBENT, WILLIAM
9971	BROADBENT, WILLIAM S.
34559	BROOKS, DAVID J
27925	BURKE, TIMOTHY A.
68086	BURKE, TIMOTHY A.
21510	BUTLER-MCLAUGHLIN, CECELIA
5733	CALLIES, GUILLEMETTE
25198	CARANGO, ANTHONY T.
25137	CASSANOVA, CATRINA
34326	CASUPLE, VIRGILIO
17760	CATALAO MAIA, ALEXANDRE
32595	CHAN, SHIRLEY
25226	CINTRON, JACQUELINE
25443	COHEN GUSTAVO SEBASTIAN
16153	COHEN, DARIAN J.
11588	COLLIER, MICHAEL
25073	COLTON, DAVID
17879	CRASTON, EDMUND ANTHONY
10101	CREMIN, PATRICK G.
19076	D'AMADEO, JOSEPH G
27231	DAS, NACHIKETA

Claim #	Creditor Name
5952	DAY, GEMMA
34492	DE JESUS, NESTOR E
4319	DELLARUSSO, RICHARD J.
14260	DESFORGES, MATHIEU
12314	DICCIANNI, CHARLES
29210	DICENSO, JOSEPH
34401	DMUCHOWSKI, JOHN J
21911	DUFFY, KATHLEEN C
15528	DUFOURNIER, PHILIPPE
68073	DUFOURNIER, PHILIPPE
5729	DUNKIN, ROBEN L
28012	DYER, ROBERT C.
15255	ENGEL, STEVEN
19193	ERTMANN, JAMES
24678	ESCOBAR, CALIXTO M. JR.
12733	FALLTRICK, BARRY J
25339	FELDERHOF, STEPHEN
18067	FINKEL, SETH J.
4709	FLACKMAN, CYNTHIA
8530	FLEISCHMAN RICHMAN, SANDY
24407	FLYNN, PATRICK
25772	FRASER-JENKINS,INIGO L
23028	FRYER, DANIEL J.
27735	GATTUSO, MARGARET E.
9682	GLASEBROOK, RICHARD J., II
23894	GOLDBERG, JASON
19518	GOLDBERG, LOUISE
10029	GOLDMAN, SHERYL
23900	GRAN, MICHAEL
24498	GRAVES, ADRIAN T
7797	GREGG, HAWES
34727	HAHN-COLBERT, SANDRA M
34728	HAHN-COLBERT, SANDRA M
30355	HALL, ROOPALI A.
33155	HARGRAVE, BRIAN
5421	HASHIDA, AKIKO
30475	HOAR, NICK JOHN
12940	HOM, MICHAEL C.
28365	HOOPER, HELEN
66971	HORNICK, PETER
28279	HOWARD, NICHOLAS P.
14023	HUTTON, RANDALL J.
64991	IRAGORRI, JULIAN
23575	IYER, SUBRAMANIAM
24335	JACOBSON, LARS P.

Claim #	Creditor Name
5340	JAO, ANDREA T.
20300	JENCKES, GEORGE A., IV
15102	JOHNSON, BRIAN A.
6286	JUDD, IAN
12821	KELLARD, CRAIG J
9025	KENG-CHONG, CHEN
14067	KENNEY, ARTHUR J.
67880	KENNEY, ARTHUR J.
13929	KENNEY, JUDITH ANN
13888	KETTLER, R. KYLE
25172	KILGALLON,JOHNINE
34546	KING, HARRIET CHAN
23582	KOTIAN, SURAJ
18087	KRIEGER, KAREN M. SIMON
24675	LANGEVIN, MARY
32239	LANKEN, JONATHAN P
25874	LATIFA, DEBBARH
3374	LAWRENCE, HENRY MORGAN III
3373	LAWRENCE, NICOLE S.
24670	LAWSKY, MICHAEL
5262	LEE, MAN YANG
31267	LEGOTTE, LEONARD J.
27230	LENG, MANHUA
6741	LEUNG, PAMELA
65858	LEV ARI, TAL
14342	LEVY, STEWART F.
8812	LEWIS, SARAH J
25895	LIOTTI, FABIO
15120	LIOTTI, FABIO
17624	LISTER, JAMES G.
28287	LOWE, TIA GELAINE
25110	LUDWIG, LINDA
22763	LUKEN, PATRICIA M.
24409	LYNCH, MARY A.
30704	MALCOLM, ANDREW
9428	MALTHOUSE, SAMANTHA
24889	MARCUS, LISA
16329	MARCUS, LISA
11107	MARNEY, LESLEY N
23723	MARSHALL, NIKKI A.
66392	MCCARTHY, LAWRENCE E
10370	MCCOOEY, KEVIN C.
65949	MCCULLY, MICHAEL K.
31081	MC GEE, HUGH
11434	MCLAUGHLIN, MARY DEIRDRE

Claim #	Creditor Name
11108	MEARS, RUSSELL
8707	MENZIES, FIONA M.
32398	MEYER, JAMES P.
32557	MISCHLER, VINCENT
24585	MODASIA, DIPAK
20775	MONAHAN, BRIAN W.
30680	MONTALVO, MIRIAM
11043	MUINOS, BARBARA
27599	MULLEN, MICHAEL J.
17261	MURPHY, PATRICIA
13968	NACKENSON, RICHARD
22803	NEDEV, BORIS
12806	NETZEL, GAVIN R
31678	NEVILLE, IAN A
14197	NOBLE, RICHARD GRANT
20305	NORTH-CLAUSS, BRYAN
14855	OLIVIER, HELMUT
17872	O'MARA, MICHAEL J.
27300	O'SULLIVAN, THOMAS J.
16039	PARR, ANKE
68074	PARR, ANKE
23578	PATANKAR, SAMEER
16289	PATTERSON, MARTIN
12044	PENTON, ANDREA M
5770	PETRUCELLI, MICHAEL J.
5063	PLASKETT, RODNEY A.
31219	POCHINAPEDDI, VENKATA
12975	PORTER, BARRY
68079	PRIMIANO, VINCENT
31795	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31796	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31794	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31797	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31798	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31799	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31800	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31801	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31802	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31803	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31804	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31805	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31806	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31807	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31808	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31809	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.

Claim #	Creditor Name
31810	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31811	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31812	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
31813	PRIMIANO, VINCENT A.
28316	PULJIC, GORAN
11300	RADICK, JONATHAN
17607	RAMALLO, HENRY
19584	REINER, BRETT S.
10489	REYCROFT, EMILY M.
28442	REYNOLDS, CHRISTIAN F.
66375	RIVKIN, JACK L.
5326	RONCAGLIOLO, JACQUELINE S
19077	SAKS, ROGER
19947	SANTODOMINGO MARTELL, ALVARO
34872	SARKAR, AMIT K
23577	SARODE, SUNIL
15754	SARONNE, GIANCARLO
68080	SARONNE, GIANCARLO
8951	SAVILLO, JOSEPHINE M.
11369	SCHUSTER, CHRISTIANE
11540	SCHWAB, STEVEN B
20244	SCHWARTZ, MARVIN C
68077	SEBIRI, JONATHAN
2927	SERAYDAR, ROSE MRS
11590	SEWARD, BRIAN
14285	SHAH, HARSH
68072	SHAH, HARSH
31374	SHAPIRO, ROSS B.
1681	SHEFFER, SCOTT T.
23580	SHINDE, SUDARSHAN SUBHASH
21362	SHOTTON, PAUL NIGEL
14958	SHUM, DANIEL T.
13458	SIBIRSKI, LINDA J.
65222	SIEGEL, NORMAN
32064	SINGH, ANIL KUMAR
11054	SMITH, MARGARET E
8813	SNELLING, STEPHEN J
24373	SOMMA, GREGG
23799	SPERO, CHARLES
68075	SPERO, CHARLES
15690	STATHAM, CHRISTOPHER A.
31665	STEFFENS, EDWARD M.
25431	STEVENS, CHRISTIAN E.
21711	STIEFEL, STEPHANIE J
13087	SULLIVAN, ANDREA

Claim #	Creditor Name
23576	SURI, TARVINDER SINGH
33156	SWEELY, GORDON
68078	SWEELY, GORDON G.
14852	TESCHNER, STEFAN
12811	TOAL, IAN G
9879	TRAVERSA, ROBERT
32257	TUIL, LAURENT
26063	TURNBULL, NIGINA
31902	VALECCE, JOHN
8447	VELEBA, MILAN
25900	VOLINI, PIERLUIGI
23579	WAIRKAR, DINESH VISHWANATH
12296	WALKER, MATTHEW
1707	WALSH, W. PHILLIP
25072	WANG,YULAN
9915	WARD, PETER
24545	WARDELL, JEFFERY K.
28313	WATTEVILLE, JEAN DE
29718	WECKER, JEFFREY
18314	WEINER, DAVID I.
13327	WEINREICH, RUTH
13290	WELCH, COLIN S. A.
66053	WHAMOND, CHRISTIAN
22286	WIDEMAN, ANDREW
34829	WILKINSON, TIMOTHY B
25129	WILSON, KELLY WEINBERGER
9968	WILSON, ROBERT W.
19298	WINCHESTER, JUDITH A.
6130	WREN, ALEX H

EXHIBIT A

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE IN DISCOVERY
IN CONNECTION WITH OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO
RECLASSIFY PROOFS OF CLAIM AS EQUITY INTERESTS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that _____, represented by _____, has filed Claim(s) Number(s) _____ against _____ in the amount of _____ in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”) and intends to participate in discovery in connection with the Debtors’ and LBHI’s Omnibus Objection(s) to such claim(s). The employee identification number for the above-named party while employed by LBHI and/or its affiliates was _____.

ACCORDINGLY, PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, subject to any objections by LBHI and/or any limitations imposed by the Court, the above-named party and his/her counsel intends to participate in RSU Claims Discovery, as defined in the Order Establishing Discovery Procedures in Connection with Omnibus Objections to Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests, entered by the Court on _____ [ECF No. ____].

Dated: _____

Contact Information for RSU Claimant (address, phone and email):

Contact Information for Attorneys for RSU Claimant (address, phone and email):

EXHIBIT B

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

PROTECTIVE ORDER

In conjunction with the Order,⁴ it is ORDERED by the Court that RSU Claims Discovery conducted in accordance with the Order shall be conducted subject to the following terms and provisions set forth in this Protective Order; that all documents, deposition testimony, interrogatory answers, and other information produced, given, provided or exchanged in connection with RSU Claims Discovery (the “Discovery Materials”) and/or the Participants (collectively, “Producing Parties”) shall be and are subject to this Protective Order in accordance with the following procedures, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Bankruptcy Rule 9018, to protect the confidentiality of sensitive information; and that, by signing the Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order, signatories (each a “Signatory”) have consented to this Protective Order and agree to be bound by its terms:

1. Any Producing Party or Receiving Party (defined in paragraphs 10-12 below) may, as reasonably appropriate, designate any Discovery Material as “Confidential” in accordance with the terms below. A party who designates Discovery Material as “Confidential” is a “Designating Party.” Where the Receiving Party is also the Designating Party, the

⁴ All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the order entered by the Court (the “Order”) to which this Protective Order (the “Protective Order”) is annexed.

confidentiality obligations of the Receiving Party set forth in this Protective Order shall be the obligations of the Producing Party.

2. All documents produced that are not designated “Confidential” at the time of production shall be treated as Confidential under this Protective Order for 14 days following the production of such documents, after which only the documents designated “Confidential” shall be treated as such.

Confidential Information

3. As used in this Protective Order, “Confidential Information” refers to all Discovery Material revealed or produced by a Producing Party (whether in response to a Document Request, Interrogatory, Request for Admissions, Deposition, other discovery device or otherwise) that is designated as “Confidential.” This designation will, without more, subject the designated material or information to the provisions of this Protective Order; and such Confidential Information will be protected by, and may be used and disposed of only in accordance with, the provisions of this Protective Order.

4. Any Producing Party may designate any Discovery Material as “Confidential” under the terms of this Protective Order if the Producing Party in good faith reasonably believes that the Discovery Material contains confidential, proprietary or commercially sensitive non-public information that requires the protections provided in this Protective Order. For purposes of this Protective Order, Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to all non-public materials containing information related, but not limited, to: (i) financial or business plans, projections or valuations; (ii) proposed strategic transactions and other business combinations, negotiations, inquiries or agreements, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, mergers, material asset sales, purchases, buy-outs, consolidations, transfers of

interests and partnerships; (iii) studies or analyses by internal or outside experts or consultants; (iv) financial or accounting results or data; (v) business, management and marketing plans and strategies; (vi) acquisition offers and expressions of interest; (vii) contracts or agreements with or among affiliates, partners or Producing Parties; and (viii) personal information that may cause an individual, whether affiliated with a Producing Party or a Receiving Party, to be exposed to an unreasonable risk of identity theft, such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, dates of birth, drivers' license numbers, or passport numbers.

Designation of Deposition and Hearing Testimony

5. Any Producing Party may designate a document as "Confidential" by affixing the legend "Confidential" to each page requiring the protections provided in this Protective Order in addition to an appropriate bates-stamp designation indicating the Producing Party.

6. For transcripts of depositions or other testimony given in pretrial proceedings, any Producing Party may designate the specific testimony as "Confidential" either on the record at the deposition or other proceeding, or in writing served on all parties no later than seven (7) days following the date on which attorneys for the Producing Parties have received the final version of the transcript of the deposition or other proceeding. If information designated as Confidential will be disclosed or discussed at a deposition, the Designating Party shall have the right to exclude any person not entitled to have access to such information, other than the deponent or witness, the attorney(s) of record (including their staff and associates), official court reporter, and stenographer and/or videographer.

7. All documents, including pleadings, motions and other papers, containing, identifying, or referencing Confidential Information filed with the Court shall be filed under seal,

simultaneously with a redacted public copy of such pleadings, motions, and/or other papers, redacting only the information that is designated “Confidential.” Any Receiving Party intending to use Confidential Information at any hearing in connection with the RSU Claims agrees to meet and confer in good faith with the Designating Party about the appropriate steps that may be taken to protect the confidentiality of such material, including, but not limited to, agreeing to redact such Confidential Information. Such meeting shall take place as soon as reasonably practicable, but no later than the date of the hearing at which the document will be used.

8. All transcripts not previously designated as “Confidential” shall be treated as “Confidential” under this Protective Order for the seven (7)-day period following receipt of the final version of the transcript and exhibits by attorneys for the Producing Parties, after which time only the portions specifically designated as “Confidential” pursuant to Paragraph 4 of this Protective Order shall be so treated.

9. The court reporter shall bind transcript pages containing Confidential Information separately and shall prominently affix the legend “Confidential” to each appropriate page, as instructed by the Designating Party.

Access to Confidential Information

10. Receiving Parties shall permit access to Confidential Information only to persons specified in this Protective Order. For purposes of this Protective Order, the term “Receiving Party” shall be a party to whom Discovery Materials are produced, and may be either an RSU Claimant, LBHI, an affiliate of LBHI, or any person identified below in Paragraphs 11 and 12 of this Protective Order who receives Confidential Information from a Receiving Party as permitted by this Protective Order.

11. Confidential Information may be disclosed, summarized, described, characterized or otherwise communicated or made available in whole or in part by a Receiving Party only to the following persons:

- a. Outside attorneys of record, attorneys or accountants serving as a trustee, curator or other fiduciary of an affiliate of LBHI, attorneys working with LBHI and its affiliates in connection with the RSU Claims, and those in-house attorneys who represent the Receiving Party in matters related to the RSU Claims, as well as attorneys, clerical, paralegal, other staff and agents of those employed by or working with such attorneys or accountants whose functions require access to Confidential Information;
- b. Any person indicated on the face of a document to be the author, addressee, or an actual or intended recipient of the document;
- c. Outside experts, consultants, and/or financial advisors for the Receiving Party whose advice and consultation are being or will be used by the Receiving Party in connection with the RSU Claims, as well as stenographic and clerical employees and agents of those experts or consultants whose functions require access to Confidential Information; provided that counsel desiring to disclose Confidential Information to such experts or consultants and their employees or agents shall first obtain a signed Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order from each such expert or consultant and each of his/her personnel who would require access to Confidential Information, and counsel shall retain in his/her file an

original or copy of each such signed Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order;

- d. The Court and its authorized staff, including official and freelance court reporters and videotape operators hired by the Receiving Party;
- e. Officers, directors or employees of the Receiving Party and its affiliates who are assisting the Receiving Party's attorneys with matters related to the RSU Claims;
- f. To the extent not otherwise covered by this Section, any potential witness or deponent and counsel for such witness or deponent, during the course of any testimony, including at any deposition, hearing or trial, and to the extent necessary, during any preparation for such testimony relating to the RSU Claims. Except when the Confidential Information is first exhibited at a deposition, hearing or trial to such person(s), counsel desiring to disclose Confidential Information to such persons shall (i) first obtain a signed Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order, and such counsel shall retain a copy of each executed Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order; or (ii) represent on the record at the deposition, hearing, or trial that such person(s) have read and agreed to the Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order;
- g. Professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for RSU Claims Discovery, provided they are informed that the material is Confidential Information;

- h. Any other person upon agreement among LBHI, the Participants, the Designating Party, and/or the Receiving Party, provided that such person shall first execute the Agreement to Abide by the Protective Order, a copy of which shall be retained by counsel for both Parties; and
- i. Any other person, only upon order of the Court.

12. Access to Protected Material: Only persons authorized to receive

Confidential Information under this Protective Order shall have access to such material.

Receiving Parties shall not provide access to or disclose Confidential Information to any person not authorized to access such material under this Protective Order. Receiving Parties shall store all Confidential Information that they receive in a secure manner. Receiving Parties are barred from using Confidential Information except in connection with the RSU Claims and only for the purposes permitted by the Protective Order and the Order. If Confidential Information is disclosed to any person other than in a manner authorized by this Protective Order, the disclosing party shall, immediately upon learning of such disclosure, inform the Designating Party of all pertinent facts relating to such disclosure and shall make reasonable efforts to retrieve the Confidential Information and to prevent disclosure by each unauthorized person who received such information.

13. No Restrictions on Use of Public or Own Information: Notwithstanding

any other provision of this Protective Order, this Protective Order does not restrict in any way a Participant's or non-Participant's use of:

- a. Its own information or its right to provide such information to any other person, even if others have designated similar or identical information as Confidential Information. A person's use or disclosure of its own

information shall not waive the protections of this Protective Order, and shall not entitle any Participant or non-Participant to use or disclose such Discovery Materials, or the contents thereof, in violation of this Protective Order, unless such disclosure of a person's own information causes the Confidential Information to become available to the public;

- b. Information that is in the public domain or becomes public without violation of this Protective Order; and
- c. Information that a Participant or non-Participant develops or obtains through proper means without violation of this Protective Order.

Use of Discovery Materials

14. Receiving Parties shall use Confidential Information produced during RSU Claims Discovery only for matters related to the RSU Claims or in other contested matters or adversary proceedings between LBHI and an RSU Claimant arising out of these Chapter 11 cases. Confidential Information shall not be made public by any Receiving Party, shall be used only by persons permitted access to it as provided for in this Protective Order, and shall be disclosed only to persons specified herein.

15. Nothing herein shall prevent disclosure beyond the terms of this Protective Order if the Designating Party specifically consents in advance in writing to such disclosure, or if the Court, after notice to all parties, orders such disclosure.

Inadvertent Failure to Designate

16. An inadvertent failure to designate qualified information disclosed or otherwise produced or generated by a Producing Party as "Confidential" does not waive the Producing Party's right thereafter to designate Discovery Material as "Confidential" under this Protective Order unless such information has become available to the public. Upon receipt of

such designation, each Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Protective Order. The Designating Party shall promptly produce new copies of the material at issue, with the proper designation, after which Receiving Parties shall promptly destroy the copies with the improper designation and shall request that all persons to whom the Receiving Party has provided copies do likewise. The Receiving Party shall inform the Designating Party when destruction of such copies has been completed.

Non-Waiver of Privilege

17. Nothing in this Protective Order shall preclude any objection to the disclosure of information by a Producing Party on the ground that such information is protected or prohibited from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the joint client privilege, the community of interest privilege, the work-product immunity, or any other legally cognizable privilege, immunity or protecting including without limitation any applicable U.S. or foreign data privacy laws. If information protected or prohibited from disclosure is inadvertently or mistakenly produced, such production shall in no way prejudice or otherwise constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of privilege or work-product immunity for such information or any other information that may be protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product immunity, or any other legally cognizable privilege or protection. If a Producing Party has inadvertently or mistakenly produced Discovery Material that contains information protected or prohibited from disclosure, then upon request by the Producing Party after the discovery of such inadvertent or mistaken production, the Receiving Party shall use all commercially reasonable efforts to return or destroy the Discovery Material for which a claim of inadvertent production is made and all copies of it, including any work product containing,

identifying, or referencing such Discovery Material, within seven (7) days of such request, and the Receiving Party shall not use such Discovery Material for any purpose other than in connection with a motion to compel production of the Discovery Material. The Receiving Party returning such Discovery Material may then move the Court for an order compelling production of the Discovery Material, but that motion shall not assert as a ground for entering such an order the fact or circumstance of the inadvertent production of the Discovery Material.

Relief

18. This Protective Order shall not prevent any party from applying to the Court for relief therefrom, or from applying to the Court for further or additional protective orders.

19. Nothing in this Protective Order shall prevent any Signatory from producing documents received subject to this Protective Order and that are in his, her or its possession, to another person in response to a subpoena or other compulsory process. Unless prohibited from doing so by applicable law, prompt written notice as soon as practicable under the circumstances shall be given to the Designating Party before production or other disclosure is to be made. The party producing the documents subject to this Protective Order shall reasonably cooperate with the Designating Party to obtain an appropriate protective order or other reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded to the Confidential Information being produced.

Objections to Designations

20. If any Receiving Party objects to the designation of any Discovery Material as "Confidential," the Receiving Party shall first identify the specific material as to which it objects as well as the basis for the objection in writing to the Designating Party. Such

parties shall confer in good faith to attempt to resolve any dispute regarding any such designation. If such parties are unable to resolve such dispute, the objecting party may seek appropriate relief from the Court, in accordance with Paragraph 18 of this Protective Order; provided, however, that all Discovery Material to which the objection applies shall continue to be treated by Receiving Parties as Confidential Information, as designated by the Designating Party, in accordance with this Protective Order, until such objection is resolved. No Signatory shall contest an objecting party's request for reasonably expedited consideration for such relief.

Appeal

21. In the event that any Signatory appeals from any decision of the Court relating to RSU Claims Discovery, such Signatory shall file an application to the appropriate appellate court, within seven (7) days of the filing of the notice of appeal, to maintain under seal any Discovery Material filed with the appellate court that contains or discloses Confidential Information. The provisions of this paragraph may be waived only with the written consent of the Designating Party.

Scope of Protective Order

22. In the event that any Confidential Information is used in any court proceeding relating to the RSU Claims, that material shall not lose its status as Confidential Information through such use and such use shall remain subject to the terms of this Protective Order.

23. Nothing in this Protective Order shall require any Producing Party to respond to discovery in any manner, including by producing documents, that would cause such Producing Party to violate any statute, regulation, or rule, including, without limitation, any international or foreign privacy laws, to which such Producing Party is subject.

24. This Protective Order does not alter, modify, or expand any obligation or duty of any party to produce any Confidential Information.

25. Nothing herein shall be construed to affect in any way the admissibility of any document, testimony, or other evidence at the trial of any action relating to the RSU Claims.

Modification

26. No modification of this Protective Order shall be binding or enforceable unless in writing and signed by LBHI and the Participants, or by further order of the Court.

Choice of Law

27. This Protective Order shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

Jurisdiction

28. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matters related to or arising from the implementation of the Protective Order.

EXHIBIT C

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

AGREEMENT TO ABIDE BY THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

I hereby certify that I have read the Protective Order⁵ SO ORDERED by the Court in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”) on August __, 2012 [ECF No. ____]. I further certify that I understand the terms and provisions of the Protective Order and agree to be fully bound by them, and hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for purposes of the Protective Order’s enforcement. I understand and agree, in particular, that I may not use any Confidential Information, or any copies, excerpts or summaries thereof, or materials containing Confidential Information derived therefrom, as well as any knowledge or information derived from any of these items, for any purpose other than matters related to the RSU Claims or other contested matters or adversary proceedings between LBHI and an RSU Claimant arising out of these Chapter 11 Cases, in accordance with the provisions of the Protective Order, including, without limitation, any business or commercial purpose.

I further understand that failure to abide fully by the terms of the Protective Order

⁵ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the Protective Order.

may result in legal action against me, such as for contempt of court and liability for monetary damages.

Dated: _____

Agreed: _____

[PARTICIPANT'S NAME & ADDRESS
AND, IF REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL,
ATTORNEY'S NAME AND ADDRESS]

EXHIBIT D

Technical Specifications for Documents to be Produced to LBHI

Participants producing documents to LBHI must produce documents in the following electronic formats:

1. *Emails.* E-mails shall be produced as single-page TIFF images with accompanying full text and load file (DAT). Meta data fields included with the load file should be provided in accordance with *Appendix 1*. E-mail attachments shall be handled according to the provisions below applicable to loose electronic documents and shall not be separated from the emails to which they are attached. Native files for e-mails shall be maintained, and such files shall be produced if the receiving party can demonstrate a need for such native files.
2. *Electronic Documents.* Word and other electronic documents shall be produced as single-page TIFF images with accompanying full text and load file (DAT). Meta data fields included with the load file should be provided in accordance with *Appendix 1*. For Excel or other spreadsheet files, the native file or a pdf version of the file shall be produced. Native files for all other electronic documents shall be maintained, and such files shall be produced, with appropriate redactions, if the receiving party can demonstrate a need for such native files.
3. *Hard copy documents.* If reasonably practicable, hard copy documents shall be produced as single-page TIFF images with accompanying full OCR text and load file (DAT).
4. *TIFF Images Generally.* Any TIFF images produced by Participants or non-Participants shall consist of (a) single-page, black and white, 300dpi group IV TIFF images with extension “.tif” and (b) text files, named after the bates

number of the document, with extension “.txt”. TIFF images may not be compressed using JPEG compression. For instances in which the original file is in color and the color is necessary for interpretation of the document (charts, pictures, etc.), produce medium quality JPEG in place of single page tiff file. Metadata shall be provided in a delimited file with a “.dat” file extension and ASCII 020 and 254 delimiters for column break and text qualifier. The first line shall be the header with field names, and each subsequent line shall contain the fielded data for each document.

5. Shipment of electronic data. Electronic data productions may be transmitted electronically via Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), FTP over SSH or physically transported using electronic storage media such as, CDs, DVDs or hard drives. The physical media label should contain the case name and number, production date, and bates range being produced. Each transmission of data should include a collection “manifest” report which provides a list of files collected, their location, and their MD5 hash values.

Appendix 1

Field	Description
BegBates	Page ID of first page in a document.
EndBates	Page ID of last page in a document.
BegAttach	BegBates of parent record.
EndAttach	BegBates of last attached document in family.
From	Author of the e-mail message.
To	Main recipient(s) of the e-mail message.
CC	Recipient(s) of "Carbon Copies" of the e-mail message.
BCC	Recipient(s) of "Blind Carbon Copies" of the e-mail message.
DateSent	Sent date of an e-mail message.
TimeSent	Time the e-mail message was sent.
EMail_Subject	Subject of the e-mail message.
Author	Author field value pulled from metadata of the native file.
Title	Title field value extracted from the metadata of the native file.
Custodian	Textual value of custodian.
DateCreated	Creation date of the native file.
TimeCreated	Creation time of the native file.
EntryID	Unique identifier of e-mails in mail stores.
FileDescription	File extension or other description of native file type.
Filename	Original filename of native file. Contains subject of e-mail message for e-mail records.
Filesize	Size of native file, in bytes.
MD5Hash	MD5 hash-128-bit output.
Attach	Semi-colon delimited string of first level attachments in the e-mail.
DateLastMod	Date the native file was last modified.
TimeLastMod	Time native file was last modified.
PgCount	Number of pages in a document.
NativeFile	Logical file path to the native file.
OCRPath	Logical file path to the OCR text.